Assessment of CAUDA 70 Score in acute exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease
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Abstract

Background: Physicians lack a robust and validated method of measuring severity or predicting poor outcome in patients with acute exacerbation of COPD (AECOPD). To study the prognosis in COPD patients with acute exacerbation using CAUDA 70 score.

Materials and Methods: 104 patients of age more than 40 years with acute exacerbation of COPD admitted department of respiratory medicine, naryana medical college and hospital, Nellore. CAUDA 70: C: Confusion, Acidosis (pH <7.35), Urea >7mmol/L, MRC Dyspnoea score >4, Albumin <35g/L, Age >70 years. One point was assigned to each variable present, giving a six point scoring system. The patients were followed up to discharge and the outcome of the patients was correlated with the score to analyse prognosis.

Results: 29(27.8%) patients obtained score upto 2. In these patients, 24 (82.75%) recovered without ventilation, 5 (17.24%) required NIV and no patients required invasive ventilation and there were no deaths in patients with this score. 75(72.2%) patients obtained scores of 3 to 6. In these, 48(64%) patients required NIV, 15(20%) patients required invasive ventilation, 4 (5.3%) patients died and only 8 (10.66%) patients recovered without any ventilation.

Conclusions: CAUDA 70 score proved to be an effective scoring system in predicting the prognosis in Acute Exacerbation of COPD patients.

Keywords: Acute Exacerbation of COPD; CAUDA 70 score; Mechanical ventilation.

Introduction

COPD is characterized by chronic irreversible airway obstruction leading to gradual, progressive decline of lung function punctuated by repeated episodes of exacerbations requiring hospitalization. Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD) represents a significant burden in terms of morbidity and mortality, accounting for nearly 6% of deaths globally in 2008[1]. An episode of acute exacerbation of COPD (AECOPD) was defined at the Aspen workshop as “a sustained worsening of the patient’s condition from the stable state and beyond normal day-to-day variations that is acute in onset and necessitates a change in regular medication in a patient with underlying COPD[2].

AECOPD can be mild, moderate or severe, so physicians should assess the severity of the exacerbation in order to decide the treatment modality. Acute exacerbation in COPD worsens the symptoms and increases the mortality. Acute exacerbations of COPD (AECOPD) account for one in eight hospital admissions[3]. Early prediction of prognosis in AECOPD is required to decrease the mortality and to improve the quality of life. Clinicians are unable accurately to predict prognosis in patients hospitalised with AECOPD [4]. A majority (up to three-fourths) of episodes of AECOPD are triggered by infections[5]. Environmental pollution is likely to be a trigger in a small proportion of patients (up to 10%) while in almost a quarter of patients, no cause may be identified[6]. Decreased air temperature and other meteorological factors may contribute to the occurrence of an AECOPD.

Unfortunately there is no widely accepted scoring system in assessing the severity of exacerbation and estimating its prognosis. The scoring system can help in the triage of the patient and classifying them into low risk or high risk.

Current study designed to determine CAUDA 70 score in patients with acute exacerbation COPD admitted in respiratory medicine department in our hospital. In our study the effectiveness of the CAUDA 70 score in predicting need for mechanical ventilation and overall prognosis was tested, because it is simple and rapid score which uses six easily measurable clinical and diagnostic parameters.

COPD not only involves respiratory system but also many other systems. So we cannot assess the severity only on the basis of FEV1 value. To fulfill this need, a different scoring system known as CAUDA 70 is developed.

Materials and Methods

A prospective observational study consists 104 patients admitted under the Department of Respiratory Medicine, Narayana medical college and hospital, Nellore, Andhra Pradesh, India during January-June 2018.

Inclusion criteria:
1. Age above 40 years
2. Previously diagnosed as COPD (as per gold guidelines)
3. With a history of smoking or biomass fuel exposure
4. Presented with acute exacerbation symptoms like increased breathlessness, cough, expectoration.

Exclusion criteria:
1. Age less than 40 years
2. Other pulmonary diseases like asthma, bronchiectasis, active PTB, ILD, pleural effusion etc.
3. Patients with CCF, pulmonary HTN and other cardiac diseases, renal failure, HTN, diabetes.

Procedure:
All the patients were admitted and following six variables are noted.
1. Confusion
2. Acidosis, pH < 7.35
3. Urea > 7milli moles per lit
4. Dyspnea grade-IV
5. Albumin < 35g/lit
6. Age 70 or above

Each variable was given single point in the score. Thus every patient gets score between 0 to 6.

Patients were followed till discharge.

Prognosis [outcome] assessed as:
1. Patients recovered without any ventilation,
2. Those requiring noninvasive ventilation;
3. Those requiring invasive ventilation;
4. Death.

Outcome was correlated to the CAUDA 70 score in each patient.

Statistical analysis done by using Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) software.

Statistical significance between CAUDA70 score and the prognosis is tested by chi square test. Our study shows the prognosis is correlated to CAUDA70 score with p value of 0.000 (<0.0005)

Results
Out of 104 patients 99 (95.2%) are males and 5 (4.8%) are females.

Of them 20.2% had confusion.
81.7% had acidosis.
35.6% had elevated urea.
64.4% had grade 4 dyspnoea.
69.2% had low albumin.
48% had age 70 and above.

Outcome in total 104 patients is as follows.
51% (53) non-invasive ventilation.
14.4% (15) invasive ventilation.
30.8% (32) recovered without any ventilation.
3.8% (4)-deaths.

This outcome correlated to CAUDA 70 score:
29(27.8%) out of 104 patients obtained scores up to 2.
In score up to 2 82.75% (24) recovered without ventilation.
17.24% (5) required non -invasive ventilation.

No patient required invasive ventilation and no deaths occurred with the score upto 2
75 (72.2%) patients out of 104 in our study obtained score 3 to 6.
Only 10.66 % (8) of them recovered without any ventilation.
64% (48) patients required non -invasive ventilation.
20% (15) patients required invasive ventilation.
5.33% (4) deaths occurred with score 3 to 6 in the study.

Our study shows the prognosis is correlated to CAUDA70 score with p value of 0.000 (<0.0005)
All the parameters individually have statistical significance with prognosis in AE COPD.

Discussion
COPD symptoms (breathlessness, cough) worsen due to acute exacerbation (i.e. AE COPD) which occur due to bacterial or viral infections.

The principal result of the present study is the identification of strong predictors of in-hospital mortality in patients admitted with AECOPD. The study allowed dervation of scoring system based on these factors. To our knowledge, this prediction tool has the highest level of discrimination of any scoring system yet produced for prediction of in-hospital mortality in AECOPD.

In AE COPD there is increased mortality. Only a small number of studies have previously derived predictive scoring systems for in-hospital mortality in AECOPD. None of these studies have been independently validated, subject to an impact analysis or implemented into clinical practice[6-9].

It is useful to consider possible reasons why the six variables in the new prediction score correlate with in-hospital mortality in AECOPD. Urea has previously appeared as an important predictor of poor outcome in respiratory disease, reflect acute kidney injury resulting from volume depletion due to hyperventilation[8-13]. Confusion, marker of poor outcome in community acquired pneumonia, arise in AECOPD due to hypercapnia.

Patients with a score of 0-1 are at low risk of death and are likely to be safely managed at home. Patients with a score of 2 are also at low risk but will need to be hospitalised if they are confused or acidicotic. Scores of 3 or more indicate that a patient is at high risk of in-hospital mortality, as these events at this level climbs to 14%.

Results of our study show that patients with CAUDA 70 score 3 and above have poor prognosis compared to those having score of 0 to 2.

In our study, 75(72.25%) are having score 3 to 6 in them 64%(48) required non- invasive ventilation, 20%(15) required invasive ventilation, only 10.66 % (8) recovered without ventilation and 5.2% (4) deaths occurred.

29 (27.8%) of total 104 patients have score up to 2.
Of them, 5 patients (17.24 %) only required non-
invasive ventilation and 82.7% (24) patients recovered without any ventilation.

From the above results it is clear that most of the patients with 3 and above score required invasive (20%); non-invasive ventilation (64%).

All 4 deaths of the study occurred with score 3 to 6.

10% of the patients in this group recovered without ventilation.

In CAUDA 70 score up to 2 patients, 83% recovered without ventilation, 17% required NIV, no patient required invasive ventilation and no deaths.

All the parameters individually have statistical significance with prognosis in AE COPD.

Of the 6 parameters, acidosis is present in 81.7% followed by albumin 69.2%, grade 4 breathlessness in 64.4% and age 70 and above in 48% . Urea was raised in 35.6% and confusion was present in 20%.

Acidosis, grade 4 breathlessness indicate respiratory failure and confusion indicate CO2 retention. Low albumin and raised urea indicate systemic involvement of AE COPD. Thus all the parameters suggest the severity of AECOPD and the presence of respiratory failure. So high CAUDA 70 score resulted in poor prognosis in AE COPD.

This more complex scoring system performed slightly better than the chosen scoring system, with an AUC of 0.85 (as opposed to 0.84).

However, despite its slightly increased level of discrimination and a slightly lower mortality rate at low prediction scores, these were not deemed to be significant enough to outweigh the ease of use of the chosen single-point scoring system.

CAUDA 70 score is developed in U.K by EXODUS study (exacerbation of obstructive lung disease managed in U.K secondary care) between 2009 and 2012.

In 2015 in Egypt " assessment of inhospital mortality and need for mechanical ventilation in AECOPD 2 year prospective study" they compared 4 scoring systems CAUDA 70, BAP 65, CURB 65, CAPS. Of the four, CAUDA 70 score gave good results in predicting need for mechanical ventilation and mortality. They proved that CAUDA 70 score 3 and above have high mortality and recommended the need for mechanical ventilation[12-14].

Our study also shown that AECOPD patient with 3 and above score had increased requirement of mechanical ventilation and higher mortality.

Conclusions

To assess the prognosis in AECOPD, CAUDA 70 score proved to be simple and effective method with six easily observable clinical variables. Based on the score we can predict the need for mechanical ventilation and prevent mortality. These findings should help in determining which patients are at high risk of inhospital death, and whether they should be managed as an in-patient or at home with early supported discharge. This scoring system also useful in decisions on patient admission, discharge management and health care resource allocation.
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